

Summary: This bulletin provides new Examination Handbook Section 060, Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management. This section replaces Thrift Activities Handbook (TAH) Section 060 and Compliance Activities Handbook (CAH) Sections 105, 110, 115, and 145.

For Further Information Contact: Your Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) Regional Office or the Thrift Policy Division of the OTS, Washington, DC. You may access this bulletin and the handbook section at our web site: www.ots.treas.gov.

Regulatory Bulletin 37-3

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

OTS is issuing Examination Handbook Section 060, Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management. This is a new section that replaces various sections (see the Summary box above) of the Thrift Activities and Compliance Activities Handbooks so we did not include change bars. We provide a summary of substantive changes below.

060 Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

We made changes to accommodate a comprehensive safety and soundness and compliance examination process. Significant changes include:

- Combines examination processes wherever possible, for example, when scoping the examination and during management interviews.
- Emphasizes that until OTS has Certified Thrift Examiners accredited in both Compliance and S&S, that an S&S EIC and a compliance EIC will work together to ensure a well coordinated, risk focused, comprehensive examination.
- Emphasizes that timely, efficient, and risk-focused examinations are essential to an effective regulatory oversight function.
- Emphasizes that whenever possible, the EIC will eliminate redundancies in the review process and assign one examiner the S&S and Compliance review of a particular area.
- Revised the program to include procedures that reflect the above revisions.

- Adds three new appendices:
 - Appendix A: Basic Scoping Matrix
 - Appendix B: Examination Scope Worksheet
 - Appendix C: Examination Management Checklist

We al may the following changes:

- Clar eaguide pes for examinations conducted in conjunction with the States.
- Formalize an apolicy to revert from an 18-month exam cycle to a 12-month exam cycle if the association is downgooded to a 3 or worse in the CAMELS composite rating, the Compliance rating, Management component rating.
- Revised the discussion OTT and Source Sour
- Removed the discussion of the PETA. We fill include this information in subsequent guidance.
- Moved the Work Papers discussion to Examinating Handbook Section 010, Use of Handbook and Programs.

Scott M. Albinson Managing Director Examinations, Supervision and Consumer Protection

On April 11, 2002, the Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) announced an initiative to improve the examination process by combining the safety and soundness (S&S) and compliance examination functions. Instead of having two separate examination teams (S&S and compliance), each performing an examination and issuing a report, OTS will conduct a comprehensive examination that produces one report of examination and a more thorough assessment of a savings association's risk profile.

LINKS
Program
Appendix A
Appendix B
D Appendix C

Since August 1, 2002, OTS has been moving towards a more comprehensive examination process. Currently, OTS is in the process of dually accrediting most of its examination staff. Ultimately one examiner-in-charge (EIC) will run comprehensive examinations. Until the agency has EIC level examiners capable of evaluating both safety and soundness and compliance risk, however, two EICs
(one well versed in the CAMELS areas and one well versed in Compliance) will oversee the comprehensive examinations. OTS expects that these two EICs will

work in tandem as one to ensure a well coordinated, risk focused, comprehensive examination. Therefore, in this Section, when we refer to EIC we mean either a dually accredited EIC or two EICs who are working together as a team. This means planning together, scoping together, conducting interviews together, etc., to conduct the CAMELS and Compliance reviews as one examination.

Other key considerations in a comprehensive examination:

- To consider findings related to Capital, Asset Quality, Earnings, Liquidity, Sensitivity and Compliance in the Management evaluation and component rating. Assess the overall adequacy and effectiveness of risk management and compliance management functions of the association.
- If the EIC determines that transactional level review of certain activities is necessary from both a safety and soundness and compliance review standpoint, then the EIC should ensure that one examiner conducts those reviews efficiently and without redundancy. For instance, when reviewing single-family loan files for the asset quality review, the same examiner can check compliance information such as flood and RESPA.

This Handbook Section integrates the S&S and compliance examination strategy, scoping, and management processes. This Section also provides guidance for Limited and Joint OTS/FDIC examinations.

EXAMINATION STRATEGY AND OBJECTIVES

Timely, efficient, and *risk-focused* examinations are essential to an effective regulatory oversight function.

Timely examinations ensure that the agency stays abreast of changes in the condition or management of an association. A risk focused examination ensures that OTS examines those associations that pose

most risk more frequently, and those with less risk, less frequently. An efficient examination eliminates multiple reviews of the same area for different purposes by combining safety and soundness and compliance reviews of the same areas such as lending or deposits.

OTS bases the timing of an on-site examination partly on the risk profile of the association, and partly on the scope of examination for any given association. All examinations should be *risk-focused*,

posits.essential to an effective
regulatory oversight
function.

Timely, efficient, and risk-

focused examinations are

meaning that you spend more time looking at higher risk areas within an organization and less time looking at low risk areas. Risk can be based on the nature of an associations operations, the quality of management and staff, and the adequacy of management and the board's ability to identify, manage and monitor risk, and take timely action to remedy identified problems.

Risk-focused examinations assist the agency in ensuring *efficient* use of its resources and ensures that examiners spend the most time looking at areas or activities that pose the most risk. We also achieve efficiency in the examination process through a well-managed examination. Staffing should be appropriate to the size of the association and the scope of the review. In addition, the EIC achieves economies of scale whenever possible to eliminate any redundancies in the review process, particularly between the safety and soundness and compliance transactional level reviews of loans or deposits during the examination. In those associations with adequate compliance management functions and a lower risk loan portfolio, transactional level review may be minimal and thus limit the opportunities for combining transaction review processes. However, the EIC should be mindful of situations where examiners can combine safety and soundness and compliance reviews (particularly at a transactional level).

The goal of the comprehensive examination process is to conduct a single examination where the exam team reviews the compliance and the CAMELS component areas. Based upon the findings in each of these areas, the examination team should be able to make an overall assessment of the association in terms of risk, adequacy of management and the system of risk and compliance management, and future prospects.

SCHEDULING EXAMINATIONS

OTS must schedule full-scope (type 16), on-site examinations of insured depository institutions once during a 12-month cycle or once during an 18-month cycle. You may conduct a limited examination under certain conditions. (See the discussion of Limited Examinations at the end of this Handbook Section.) A full-scope examination, according to the statute, means that you conduct an on-site examination and rate all CAMELS components. For comprehensive examination purposes, OTS also conducts a compliance review as part of a full-scope examination except as noted below under Intervening State Examinations.

OTS measures the 12-month and 18-month cycles from the "close date" of the last examination to the "start date" of the next examination. The "close date" is the date OTS transmits the Report of Examination (ROE) to the association.

Intervening State Examinations

Regional offices may accept full-scope, on-site examinations conducted by the regulatory authorities of state-chartered depository institutions on an alternating basis instead of an OTS examination, if such examinations meet the requirements and objectives of OTS's examination strategy. OTS has certain agreements with some States to accept an intervening state examination. OTS accepts intervening state examinations for the following savings associations:

- Composite 1- or 2-rated associations.
- Stable and improving composite 3-rated associations if off-site monitoring between examinations confirms the last composite rating and OTS does not note any adverse trends from available information.

The length of time between examinations (regardless of whether OTS or the state conducts the examination) should not exceed 12 (or 18) months based on the statutory examination cycle requirements.

Generally, States do not perform a Compliance review as part of their examinations. OTS will conduct a separate Compliance review concurrently with intervening state examinations unless all of the following conditions exist:

- The previous Compliance rating was 1 or 2.
- Off-site monitoring shows no material change in the association's risk profile.
- The association corrected any previous compliance deficiencies.

12-month Cycle

You must conduct a full-scope (type 16), on-site examination of the association once during each 12month period unless the association meets the 18-month cycle requirements below.

By conducting examinations annually, you increase the chances of discovering problems and resolving them early. Regional offices may conduct full-scope, on-site examinations more often than prescribed by statute.

All de novo savings associations are subject to the 12-month examination cycle. The 12-month examination cycle should continue until management has demonstrated its ability to operate an association in a safe and sound manner and satisfied all conditions imposed at the time of approval.

18-month Cycle

An 18-month examination interval applies to insured savings associations with total assets of \$250 million or less that meet the following criteria in 12 CFR \S 563.171:

- The most recent examination received a composite CAMELS rating of 1 or 2 and a Compliance rating of 1 or 2.
- The most recent examination received a Management component rating of 1 or 2.
- The association is well-capitalized as defined under Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA) and 12 CFR § 565.4.
- The association is not currently subject to a formal enforcement proceeding or order by the OTS or the FDIC.
- The association has not undergone a change in control during the 12-month period since completion of the last full-scope, on-site examination.

Revert to the 12-month examination schedule if any of the following occurs:

- An enforcement action.
- An acquisition or change in control.
- A change in asset size to >\$250 million.
- A downgrade in PCA rating.
- A downgrade to a 3 or worse in the CAMELS composite rating, the Compliance rating, or Management component rating.

If a triggering event occurs in any of the following time frames at an association that otherwise meets all of the criteria for an 18-month examination interval, you must conduct a full-scope examination within the appropriate interval:

• Within 9 months of the "close" date of the prior full-scope examination, start the next examination no later than 12 months from the close of the last full-scope examination.

- Between 9 and 12 months since the close of the last full-scope examination, start the next examination within 90 days.
- Twelve or more months since the close of the last full-scope examination, start the next examination within 90 days, but no later than 18 months from the close of the last full-scope examination.

Conversely, if an association under a 12-month examination interval becomes eligible for an expanded interval, the regional office may immediately expand the interval to 18 months.

EXAMINATION SCOPING AND PLANNING

Scoping an Examination

Scoping is an integral part of a risk-focused examination process, assisting examiners in targeting higher risk areas for review and in determining the appropriate examination procedures for that review. Scoping is the examination planning process that matches the risk profile of an association with the

examination programs to enable a focused evaluation of association performance and appropriate rating assignments and conclusions. Scoping is the starting point of any examination and usually begins off-site.

Scoping is an integral part of a risk-focused examination process, assisting examiners in targeting higher risk areas for review and in determining the appropriate examination procedures for that review.

In brief, scoping enables you to understand the present risk profile of an association based on the following:

- A review and analysis of prior examination reports and prior track record of management.
- A review of agency monitoring records and PERK data.
- Interviews with management.
- An assessment about changes in business operations, staffing, or external circumstances.

Based on this risk profile, the EIC can then determine the appropriate areas for examiner review, the depth of review required, the examination procedures to use, and the examination personnel requirements. The EIC may modify an examination scope based on findings during the course of an examination.

Scoping consists of three stages:

• Reviewing preexamination information.

- Conducting management interviews.
- Developing a risk assessment.

It is critical to the risk-focused examination process that you conduct these stages using the most effective off-site and on-site methods that regional resources permit.

Review Preexamination Information

Generally, the EIC begins the scoping process off-site, before the start of the examination, leveraging off work performed by regional staff responsible for monitoring and updating the ECEF and Regulatory Profiles, prior exams and work papers, and relevant PERK materials.

A sample of items that you may review off-site include the following:

Agency Information

- The Electronic Continuing Examination Folder (ECEF), (including Quarterly Monitoring Reports (QMRs) and, if applicable, the Regulatory Profile for the association.
- Results of regional off-site monitoring.
- OTS financial reports including TFR and UTPR.
- Prior thrift, compliance, and holding company, work papers, and recommendations.
- Unresolved issues from preceding examinations.
- Correspondence covering significant matters involving the association that transpired between exams.
- Other correspondence and internal memoranda involving the association.
- Application information conditions of approval and major applications filed.
- Documentation on supervisory and enforcement actions.
- Consumer complaints filed with OTS since the last examination.
- Suspicious Activity Reports and Currency Transaction Reports.
- CRA Wiz/ HMDA data.

PERK Information

- Preliminary Examination Response Kit (PERK) documents completed by association management before the start of the examination for information related to the following:
 - Changes in operations.
 - Changes in technology risk, systems and controls.
 - Board meetings.
 - Internal and external audits.
 - Compliance self-assessments.
 - Responses and corrective actions to exams and audits.
 - Significant changes in outsourcing or vender relationships.

General Data

- Economic information about the association's market area(s).
- News articles, including Internet sources.

When you arrive on-site for the examination, review additional information that may affect the scope as soon as possible. Examples of scoping materials commonly reviewed on-site include the following:

- Relevant PERK documents not available before the examination begins.
- Board reports, board minutes, and management reports.
- Compliance reviews and/or compliance self-assessments.
- Internal audit reports.
- Internal Asset Review reports.
- Business plan.
- Operating budget.
- Any new contracts (for example: employment, information systems, leases, etc.).
- Any new or revised policies and procedures.

• Any new product or delivery channel specifications and associated marketing plans.

Conduct Management Interviews

In this stage of the scoping process, the EIC should conduct detailed interviews with the President/CEO, senior management, compliance officer, internal auditor, information security officer, general counsel, or other responsible staff, as applicable. You may conduct some of the initial discussions off-site. Further discussions will take place on-site at the start of the examination and continue (as needed) throughout the duration of the examination.

Use the interview process to confirm, modify, or supplement your preliminary judgment about the association's risk profile, changes in risk profile, management's response to those changes, and management's track record. As the EIC, you should communicate the results of the interviews to the examination team. Discussions should cover the operational impact of the following:

- Business strategic development and implementation.
- Modifications of organizational structure and lines of responsibility.
- Scope and effectiveness of employee training programs.
- Variations in financial condition or risk profile, and operating performance in comparison with the budget.
- Changes in operations that could affect ongoing safety and soundness and compliance performance.
- Actions taken to correct deficiencies identified in previous examinations, audits or compliance self-assessments.
- Management's perspective on economic conditions directly or indirectly affecting the association's financial performance and risk profile.
- Management's status in implementing a formal written compliance policy and self-assessment.
- Alteration of existing or development of new products.
- Significant internal or external audit findings, and management's response to those findings.
- Management's adherence to, or departure from, formally established procedures or standard practices.
- Addition or removal of third-party service providers.

• Adoption, deployment or modification of information technology platforms or tools.

You should adapt the interview process to address the particular circumstances at each association in response to findings from the pre-examination analysis. (Consult the Basic Matrix in Appendix A as an aide in identifying the regulatory obligations associated with particular products.) This process will assist you in refining the examination scope and in determining to what extent you examine certain operations, and particular laws and regulations.

Risk Assessment

Proper scoping (through document reviews, data analysis and management interviews) allows the EIC to formulate initial conclusions about the savings association's condition and risk profile, including credit risk, operational risk, interest rate risk, compliance risk, strategic risk, and reputation risk.

Using preexamination information and management interviews allows the EIC to formulate an initial assessment of:

- Current financial condition.
- Management and the board's prior track record.
- Material changes in risk profile or operating strategy, and management's response to those changes.
- The association's internal controls, including technology risk controls, risk management, and compliance management systems.
- Responsiveness of management and the board in implementing corrective action to risk management and compliance management deficiencies since the previous examination, audits or reviews.
- Association's efforts to stay abreast of and train the board, management, and staff on safety and soundness and regulatory compliance developments.

Your assessment of these areas is a critical step for determining examination scope and the risk profile.

Selecting Examination Programs and Procedures

Based upon the risk assessment, you will determine the appropriate examination programs and procedures to use. You should consider all programs and questionnaires within the scope of the examination, including the risk-focused and tailored examination procedures. You may use a combination of procedures when performing an examination. You should perform a more detailed review of areas with greater risk or with deteriorating performance indicators and actively pursue any concerns or red flags that you uncover during the examination process. For example, if risk factors require you to go beyond tailored examination procedures, you may use any examination procedures

included in the risk-focused examination procedures, examination procedures in the Examination Handbook, or conduct any other type of review determined appropriate to assess risk. You may expand the depth of review of any given area as additional facts surface that necessitate a more comprehensive review.

Use programs and questionnaires as appropriate, but only to the extent necessary to address the scope and support the examination conclusions. Programs provide guidance necessary to support examination findings and ROE comments. Wherever possible and indicated by the risk assessment conduct simultaneous reviews for safety and soundness and consumer compliance assessments.

In many circumstances, you will not need all or even a majority of the programs.

The EIC may find it helpful to use the Examination Scope Worksheet in Appendix B to indicate the examination programs to complete on an examination. Discuss the scope of the examination with the Field Manager (FM) or Assistant Regional Director (ARD) and document their concurrence on the worksheet. Before the start of the examination, the EIC will prepare a scoping memorandum, signed by the FM or ARD, that sets forth the risk assessment and exam programs. Retain a copy for the work papers.

For example, if your review of the policies, structure, administration, and results of the association's internal asset review program reveals that the program is sufficient and the results are accurate, you may place a greater reliance on the association's internal review. The risk that the association is not adequately reviewing and classifying its assets would be low, so more detailed examination procedures would generally not be necessary.

When using this risk-focused examination approach, use sound professional judgment to ensure that the depth of review is sufficient to accurately assess the association's condition, but is not excessive. For further information regarding the examination program and the three levels of review, refer to Examination Handbook Section 010, Handbook and Program Use.

EXAMINATION MANAGEMENT

Effective management of the examination expedites and enhances the examination process by ensuring that the examination team meets the exam objectives and does so in an efficient manner. The level and sophistication of examination management methods and procedures will vary depending on the size, nature, and activities of the association.

The EIC may elect to use an Examination Management Checklist such as that found in Appendix C. The checklist provides the time frames of tasks that need to take place before, during, and after each examination.

EIC Responsibilities

The EIC carries the primary responsibility for managing the examination. The EIC's responsibilities include:

- **Examination planning, organization, and implementation**: The EIC is responsible for scoping the examination, setting the examination objectives, communicating the examination objectives to the examination team, and ensuring that the exam team meets the examination objectives.
- Assignments and job monitoring: The EIC must determine the expertise necessary to perform certain aspects of the examination and make assignments accordingly. The EIC is responsible for realizing the maximum efficiency from conducting coordinated safety and soundness and compliance reviews consistent with the examination's scope. Depending on the size of the job, the EIC may delegate certain management responsibilities to assistants for efficiency and to improve upon administrative and management skills of assistants.
 - Assign priorities to examination tasks and determine optimal use of comprehensive reviews across exam programs. Maximize efficiency by assigning one assistant to conduct or coordinate interrelated S&S and compliance programs to avoid duplication of effort whenever feasible.
 - Brief the examination team members on their respective assignments, including their participation in examination segments that will involve comprehensive reviews across exam programs and/or cross training. Provide them with the necessary information and resources to conduct their assignments efficiently.
 - Explain the risk assessment and scoping judgment relevant to each assistant's assignment. Discuss the effect of information developed during the exam on the association risk profile, possible changes to the scope, opportunities for conducting comprehensive reviews across exam programs, and the ability to meet assignment deadlines throughout the examination. Adjust assignments as warranted by these considerations.
 - Consider completing the Examination Scope Worksheet in Appendix B when assigning tasks and budgeting examination hours. The EIC specifies the areas to review on the examination considering the scope. As needed, you may add any activities not included on the worksheet.
 - Monitor the progress of the examination to achieve examination objectives in a timely manner and to identify early adjustments to the scope, staffing, and completion date. The EIC should notify a supervisor as soon as adjustments to scope or other events may affect scheduling or the completion date. The supervisor might consider staff reassignment from other jobs, if necessary.

- **Prepare Report of Examination:** Incorporate program findings and conclusions, edit comments and finalize the ROE. Compile, index and file work papers. Properly record necessary exam data and regulatory violations in agency systems.
- Serve as the primary communications link: The EIC is the focal point for communications on significant matters. Assistants, association personnel, and regional office staff must all know how to communicate information and when to share information. During the examination, it is important that only one responsible individual provide answers to significant items. The EIC should coordinate this in case questions arise.
 - Examiners should communicate any significant changes to the scope and the reasons for them with examiners involved in holding company, trust and asset management, and information technology (IT) examinations. Share significant findings and conclusions to avoid duplicating efforts.
 - When the FDIC or the state participates in an examination, maintain close communication with FDIC regulatory authorities and appropriate state regulatory authorities. See "OTS/FDIC Joint Examinations Process" at the end of this Handbook Section.
 - Early in the examination, the EIC should discuss with the President/CEO, or with a designated association representative, some of the administrative aspects of the examination, including:
 - Time frames for receiving requested information.
 - The availability of the examiners to answer questions from the staff preparing requested information.
 - Names of key contact people.
 - Facilities and parking availability.
 - Hours for work.
 - Use of equipment.
 - The expected duration of the examination.
 - Any planned interruptions (these should be kept to a minimum).
 - Names of assisting examiners.
 - The EIC should schedule regular meetings with the CEO to discuss the progress of the examination and to address any issues of concern. Conduct the examination efficiently to

minimize undue disruption for the association. Convey any unresolved concerns management expresses about exam progress to a supervisor.

- The EIC should schedule an examination exit meeting with the association's senior management to discuss examination findings, the examiner's overall conclusions, and recommendations (see Handbook Section 070, Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting).
- Manage staff development and evaluation of assistants: Assistants may need guidance, depending on their experience and ability. The EIC should encourage questions and ensure that someone is available to provide guidance. Depending on the size of the job, the EIC should be familiar with the work performed by the assistant(s) so that they can make fair and constructive evaluations of their work.
 - Whenever possible, assign assistants to program areas that they can complete, including report pages and comments, before leaving the assignment. This allows for efficiency and accountability and provides necessary on-the-job training.
 - Monitor assistants' performance throughout the examination to ensure that they are meeting objectives according to schedule and consistent with agency standards for quality work product. Early identification of work-related problems also allows the assistants the opportunity to correct mistakes and to immediately improve upon skills.
- Ensure a cooperative and positive working environment: Conduct examinations with as little disruption, conflict, and confusion as possible. A positive work environment fosters the productivity of the team members. Disagreements will occur at times, but avoid an antagonistic role. Allow for regular meetings with management to discuss findings and questions, and avoid monopolizing the time of the staff as much as possible. A professional and considerate approach usually results in cooperation from the association staff.

Off-Site vs. On-Site Examination Procedures

OTS may conduct some examination procedures off-site as proficiently as they conduct them on-site.

Performing examination procedures off-site is optional. Regional directors or their designee should determine whether off-site work is feasible and develop appropriate policies and procedures. In simple terms, you may perform certain procedures off-site at the beginning and end of an examination. Some of the advantages of performing procedures off-site may include reduction in travel expenses and a reduction in the disruption to normal thrift operations attendant with even the best-run examinations.

Savings Association Selection Criteria

You should determine whether to use off-site examination procedures based on certain criteria, including:

- The association's CAMELS and Compliance ratings.
- Prior history.
- Complexity of operations.
- Reliability of data.
- Capabilities of staff assigned.

This approach is flexible because there are no firm guidelines such as asset size, rating, or location to determine when you can or cannot use off-site examination analysis.

Open lines of communication with association personnel are essential at all examinations, but you may have to take extra steps to keep those lines open during off-site portions of the examination. Advise association management of the start and completion of off-site work. Finally, exercise judgment so that you perform on-site work that is appropriate to on-site performance.

PERK Information

You may specify in the PERK letter that OTS will conduct some of the examination work off-site.

Unless it is necessary to retrieve items from the association, request some items in advance for delivery to the field office or other appropriate location. If you select this option, send the PERK out a few days earlier than recommended in the normal customer service standards.

Do not require thrifts to photocopy and ship materials to examiners if it creates rather than reduces regulatory burden. Regulatory staff will have to gauge the resources and attitudes of each thrift toward using off-site examination procedures. Again, keep open the lines of communication with management.

Examination Data System/ Report of Examination

The Examination Data System/Report of Examination (EDS/ROE) should continue to reflect the actual start and completion dates of examination work performed on-site. These dates should correspond with the dates disclosed in the cover page of the examination report.

Continuing Examination File and the General File

Continuing Examination File

Include the following items, only if applicable to the particular association being examined, in the Continuing Examination File (CEF), or file them with the applicable examination programs and carry them forward from examination to examination until no longer applicable. Maintenance of these documents preserves examination continuity and reduces excessive requests for information during examinations:

Administration

- Management and Director Committees and Members (PERK)
- Organizational Chart (PERK)
- Officer Resumes (PERK)
- Directors' and Officers' Home Addresses (PERK)
- Enforcement Documents
- Schedule of Branch Offices and LPOs
- Copy of Charter and Bylaws
- Copy of Conditions for Insurance (in force)
- Summary of Leases
- Holding Company/Affiliates Corporate Structure (PERK)
- Internal Audit Program (PERK)
- Stockholders' Schedule (PERK)
- Proxy Statement, if applicable.
- Approved Appraisers and Qualifications (PERK)
- All Contracts (PERK)
- Copies of Written Policies (PERK)

If you must include an association policy as part of work paper support, file it in the appropriate CAMELS or Compliance section of the work papers. Similarly, include the business plan and budget requirements in the Management/Administration work paper file.

General File

The general file contains the administrative information related to the examination and is organized to correspond with the administrative section of the Handbook.

Include the following items in the general file:

• Exception Sheets

Administration

- Examination Scope Worksheet (Appendix B)
- Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management Program
- PERK Summary Schedule (for next exam)
- Pre-Assignment Analysis
- Overall Conclusions Program
- Recent Correspondence
- News articles

You should use exception sheets to record all specific regulatory and policy violations that you do not specifically discuss in the ROE. Either the managing officer or the appropriate department head must provide a disposition for each problem noted and initial the exception sheet. Provide a copy of all exception sheets to the managing officer.

Examination Conclusion

The EIC is responsible for reviewing and compiling the examination findings and ensuring the Examination Conclusions and Comments page presents a concise and balanced portrayal of an association's condition and future prospects. The ratings should reflect the overall examination findings and conclusions. Update the ECEF and, if applicable, create or update the Regulatory Profile. Also, ensure that the association takes prompt corrective action for any problems found during the examination and closely monitor the association's condition for any recurrence of these or new problems.

OTS regional staff must send the report of examination to 1- and 2-rated savings associations within 30 days from completion of on-site examination activities, and to 3-, 4-, and 5-rated associations within 45 days from completion of on-site examination activities.

Refer to Examination Handbook Section 070, Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting, for other appropriate examination closing procedures.

OTS/FDIC JOINT EXAMINATION PROCESS

Pursuant to the Information Sharing and Special Examination Agreement with the FDIC, OTS and FDIC regional staffs should meet regularly to review the examination schedule. The FDIC should indicate those examinations in which they desire joint participation. FDIC will perform all savings association examination activities on a joint basis unless compelling reasons dictate otherwise.

For joint examinations, the FDIC and OTS should jointly scope the examination at the EIC level or at the respective regional office level. Disagreements over scope should default to the broader alternative. When examinations of savings association affiliates are necessary, the EIC should decide how to conduct the examinations.

OTS is not required to provide the FDIC a copy of the proposed final report before transmitting it to the association.

OTS is responsible for specialty examinations such as Trust and Asset Management and Information Technology.

Nothing in the joint OTS/FDIC agreement should alter the normal examination and supervisory cooperation with state authorities.

Special Examinations and Information Sharing

A key principle of the information sharing agreement is that the federal banking agencies are committed to providing the FDIC information on and access to insured depository institutions that represent a heightened risk to the

insurance fund.

OTS presumes that the following associations represent a "heightened risk" to the insurance fund: A key principle of the information sharing agreement is that the federal banking agencies are committed to providing the FDIC information on and access to insured depository institutions that represent a heightened risk to the insurance fund.

- Insured depository institutions with a composite rating of 3, 4, or 5.
- Insured depository institutions that are undercapitalized as defined under Prompt Corrective Action.

In other cases, FDIC may request participation in examinations or meetings when an institution exhibits material deteriorating conditions or other adverse developments that could result in the institution becoming troubled in the near team. In limited instances, the FDIC will need to develop contingency plans for an institution's possible failure or the commencement of the resolution process. FDIC's Division of Resolution and Receiverships will work with the primary federal regulator to collect contingency planning information on the following institutions:

- Institutions with composite ratings of 4 that would pose unique or exceptional risks in the event of failure.
- Institutions with existing or anticipated composite ratings of 5.

- Institutions significantly or critically undercapitalized as defined under Prompt Corrective Action.
- Institutions otherwise identified as having adverse developments that could result in the institutions becoming troubled in the near term.

The FDIC will collect the information in the most efficient and least disruptive manner, relying on examiners, work papers, examination reports, and other supervisory information as sources for preliminary contingency planning information. If additional information is needed, FDIC staff will meet and coordinate with the institution's primary regulator prior to conducting any on-site information collection and will, to the extent possible, conduct on-site activities concurrently with the primary regulator's scheduled examinations. FDIC or the primary regulator will notify the appropriate institution contact of FDIC's scheduled on-site presence and purpose. The amount and type of information collected will depend on the nature and severity of an institution's problems or risk profile in the event of failure.

With respect to certain identified large savings associations supervised by OTS, FDIC will establish a dedicated examiner program. OTS will keep the dedicated examiner informed of all material developments in the supervision of the institution and will invite the dedicated examiner to observe and participate in certain examination activities. This will ensure that the FDIC has an understanding of the supervisory issues and risk management structure of the identified thrift.

FDIC will fully participate in the review and assessment of the risk of the credits within the Shared National Credit Program in certain large thrifts. When the agencies agree that FDIC's participation is appropriate to evaluate the risk of a particular banking activity to the deposit insurance funds, the FDIC dedicated examiner and other staff, as appropriate, should participate with OTS in selected supervisory reviews of that activity. This includes meetings with bank management relating to those reviews. In the event Agencies' staff cannot agree, the respective Agencies representative to the FFIEC Supervision Task Force will determine whether FDIC participation is appropriate. In the event the two representatives cannot agree, the Chairman of the FDIC and the Director of OTS will resolve the dispute.

Report of Examination

Joint examinations represent a division of responsibilities among the joint staff; the OTS and FDIC should each provide an EIC, who will share responsibility for managing the examination and will be responsible for resolving interagency differences during the examination process. EICs of both agencies should coordinate and communicate during the examination to ensure that they achieve examination objectives with a minimum of redundancy.

The FDIC's ROE will be for internal purposes only, although they will provide a copy to the OTS. If the FDIC Board authorizes an enforcement action, however, the FDIC would then transmit its examination report to the institution. As the OTS and FDIC regional staffs prepare the concurrent reports, they should attempt to resolve all significant differences of opinion concerning the thrift's overall condition and the enforcement or corrective action needed. The OTS view will prevail concerning nonsubstantive differences in examination interpretations, conclusions, and report comments. If there are differences in CAMELS and Compliance ratings between FDIC and OTS, FDIC's senior management will, after consultation with OTS' senior management, make any decision to depart from OTS' assigned rating. Substantive differences in examination conclusions that could lead to an enforcement action by the FDIC if not pursued by OTS, and that the EIC cannot resolve, should be referred to the OTS and FDIC regional offices for resolution at the time such differences are identified. The regional offices must resolve such differences within ten working days. If they cannot resolve such differences following full review and communication between the regional offices within ten working days, the regional offices refer the matter to the FDIC Director of Supervision and OTS Deputy Director. It is expected that they will resolve such differences within ten working days. If they days. If they days. If the differences remain unresolved, the FDIC should so notify OTS of the differences and that they will seek corrective action authorization from the FDIC Board.

Prepare the OTS examination report using generally accepted accounting principles as the appropriate accounting treatment for financial accounting information. Use OTS regulations, policies, and directives in reaching examination conclusions.

The OTS and FDIC should routinely copy one another on institution-related correspondence. The OTS provides the FDIC with copies of examination-related correspondence.

OTS should share, but retain, examination work papers, loan line sheets, report pages, and findings following completion of the examination, and provide interim examination access to the FDIC upon request.

Board of Directors Meeting

The OTS and FDIC should jointly participate in examination-related meetings with management and directors during and at the conclusion of joint examinations. The FDIC should communicate all actions taken by the FDIC Board to the institution after notification to the OTS.

LIMITED EXAMINATIONS

You may conduct a limited examination for the following associations:

- De novo or newly insured saving associations.
- Associations that have had a change in management, control, or operations.
- Associations under an enforcement agreement.
- Associations whose conditions undergo a significant change.

Use the limited examination (type 46) for reviews focusing on high-risk areas. Limited examinations do not satisfy the 12/18-month requirement.

You may conduct a limited examination on-site or off-site. To determine if an association needs an on-site, limited examination focus on the following factors:

- Changes in business activity and strategy, such as a change in loan product lines, the investment portfolio, or the deposit structure.
- Action taken by management to correct items of concern noted at the most recent examination.
- Deterioration in asset quality indicators such as nonperforming assets.
- Circumstances indicating a deteriorating and deficient Compliance management program.
- Noncompliance with prior enforcement actions.
- Negative earnings, unfavorable earnings trends, or dependence on nonoperating income.
- The levels and composition of capital, as well as trends in capital formation and accumulation.
- An excessive rate of growth or a level of growth that exceeds capital levels or regulatory or supervisory directives.
- Changes in other key financial ratios and indicators.
- Other information such as the external audit report, news articles, supervisory correspondence, consumer complaints alleging discrimination, and information obtained from examinations of other savings associations.

You may also use the limited examination to ensure the association's compliance with certain conditions. Depending on the circumstances of the limited examination, you may use specific ROE pages or a memo-style format to report your findings.

REFERENCES

Code of Federal Regulations (12 CFR)

§ 563.171 Frequency of Examinations

Office of Thrift Supervision Bulletins

TB 68a Appeals and Ombudsman Matters

Administration

Closely Related Handbook Sections

- Section 010 Handbook and Program Use
- Section 040 Examination Systems
- Section 045 Regulatory Action Data (RAD) System
- Section 070 Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting

OTS Report of Examination Instructions

Interagency Agreements

FFIEC Guidelines for Relying on State Examinations (June 27, 1995)

Information Sharing and Special Examination Agreement with the FDIC (January 25, 2002)

EXAMINATION OBJECTIVES

To ensure that OTS conducts the examination on time according to scheduling requirements.

To ensure that OTS conducts a well-scoped and risk-focused examination:

- Analyze agency records and Preliminary Examination Response Kit (PERK) materials, and conduct interviews with management.
- Develop a risk profile.
- Select examination procedures appropriate to the risks identified.
- Modify the scope and level of review as appropriate based on examination findings.

To ensure that the examination makes efficient use of resources:

- Combine areas of review where appropriate.
- Ensure the appropriate staffing and levels of expertise.
- Conduct off-site work where appropriate.
- Dedicate more resources to higher-risk areas and fewer resources to areas of less risk.
- Supplement formal education programs through on-the-job training.

To ensure that the examination is well-managed:

- Timely receipt of information.
- Open and timely communication with association management, agency staff, and other regulatory staff.
- The examination proceeds as scheduled or the schedule is revised based on adverse examination findings.
- Appropriate examiner conduct.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

- Timely identification of material issues.
- Appropriate documentation of work.

To provide useful information for future planning, scoping, monitoring, and management.

SCHEDULING

The regional office will determine the examination schedule on a 12- or 18-month cycle according to the criteria outlined in this Handbook Section.

SCOPING PROCEDURES

WKP. REF.

Generally, you should follow the procedures below, however, you must modify these procedures based on the size and complexity of the savings association. For example, for smaller, well-rated associations with strong management and no changes in business operations, it may not be necessary to conduct an extensive review of records and interviews in order to determine the appropriate examination scope.

- 1. Prior to the examination, review the Electronic Continuing Examination Folder (ECEF) and, if applicable, the Regulatory Profile for the savings association. See Handbook Section 040, Examination Systems.
- 2. Tailor the PERK requests on the summary schedule to the savings association and type of examination. Send the PERK to the association at least four weeks prior to the examination start date.
- 3. Review the most recent scoping materials available (those available in advance of the examination) and any relevant PERK materials received prior to the examination. This Handbook Section provides a list of scoping and PERK materials.
- 4. If applicable, coordinate with the OTS speciality (information technology, etc.) EIC, and the FDIC EIC or FDIC regional office for joint scoping.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- 5. Conduct management and compliance interviews. See this Handbook Section for interview discussion topics.
 - Conduct interviews with management by phone, if possible.
- 6. Formulate a risk assessment based on the following:
 - The association's current financial condition.
 - Management and the BOD's prior track record in managing risk and taking corrective action.
 - Material changes in risk profile or operating strategy and management's response to those changes.
 - Quality of internal controls, technology controls, risk management, and compliance management systems.
- 7. Establish and document the detailed scope for the examination in a scoping memo. Your field manager should review and sign the memo. Retain a copy in the work papers.

Note: For branch reviews, evaluate internal controls, management reporting, and audit coverage and findings before establishing scope. It may be necessary to perform only limited reviews of branches, particularly if the association can send credit files and other information to the office where the examiners are working.

8. Finalize staffing and examination dates. Contact assistants and inquire whether they have scheduled any time off or will be attending any training seminars during the examination.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- 9. Prepare assignments for assistants (before commencing the examination, if possible) based on the scope and areas of expertise. Assign examiners S&S and consumer compliance review in areas where there is overlap, such as lending and deposit areas. If helpful, prepare an Examination Scoping Worksheet and budget exam hours accordingly.
- 10. Coordinate and oversee the review of association materials that might give an early indication of a need to change the scope (refer to this Handbook Section for a list of these materials). This review should include the following procedures:
 - Review the association's current Thrift Financial Reports (TFR) and Management Information Systems (MIS) reports and determine if there have been significant changes in the level of capital, lending or investment activity, earnings, or nonperforming assets.
 - Review the minutes of the board of directors' meetings. Focus attention on significant changes in the association's business activities (lending, investment, joint ventures, etc.). Assess the level of oversight performed by the directorate.
 - Discuss with management any changes in key management, the directorate, or business activities that have occurred since the preceding examination. Also inquire as to any proposed changes or pending litigation that may affect earnings and capital.
 - Determine through a review of correspondence, discussions with management, and other appropriate verification methods, if management corrected any problems related to the following areas:
 - Prior examination report comments and supervisory letters.
 - External auditor's exceptions.
 - Internal auditor's exceptions.
 - Any enforcement actions and directives.
 - Determine if there are written policies governing key areas such as lending and investments. Evaluate the adequacy of new or revised written policies, procedures, and strategic plans. These guidelines should adequately address

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

safety and soundness (including internal controls), profitability, and compliance with laws and regulations.

- 11. Make adjustments to the examination scope as necessary, but preferably as early as possible. Notify a supervisor if you anticipate significant changes in scope, staffing needs, duration, etc. Revise the risk assessment, as appropriate.
- 12. Select exam procedures appropriate to the risk profile of the association.

EXAMINATION MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

The EIC should perform the following procedures as soon as possible to ensure that the examination is properly managed.

- 1. Meet with the CEO or designee as soon as possible at the beginning of the examination. Refer to this Handbook Section for a list of recommended items to discuss. Discuss the administrative aspects of the examination. Notify the appropriate office when the examination begins.
- 2. Discuss scope and assignments with assistants including estimated time for completion. Determine that assistants are aware of the specific activities included in the exam scope. Assist in establishing the scope for each of the assigned programs.
- 3. As necessary, review with the examiners assigned lending and deposits the specific areas requiring review for interrelated S&S and compliance issues.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- 4. If applicable, coordinate with the FDIC dedicated examiner to observe and participate in particular exam activities, and in the review and assessment of the risk of credits in the Shared National Credit Program.
- 5. Ensure the timely receipt and dissemination of PERK materials requested from management. Discuss problems with the appropriate contact person and establish revised deadlines for receipt of materials, if necessary.
- 6. Throughout the examination:
 - Review on a regular basis the workflow, findings, and actual versus budgeted time.
 - Take appropriate steps to include on-the-job training. Consider your assistants' task records, if applicable.
 - Discuss all items of concern with the assistants to ensure that the OTS presents accurate information at the closing conference with the CEO.
 - Keep the supervisor and the CEO abreast of any developing significant issues.
 - Ensure that your assistants are preparing examination work in accordance with policies, including: work papers, interim reports, exception sheets, draft comments, report pages, time sheets, administrative reports, and transmittal file information. Refer to Examination Handbook Section 070, Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting, for a discussion of report content.
- 7. If you need additional verification, review, or analysis of any areas, complete or assign the completion of selected procedures from Levels I, II, and III for the particular area of review. (Refer to instructions for selecting Levels I, II, and III procedures in Examination Handbook Section 010, Handbook and Program Use.)

Exam Date:
Prepared By:
Reviewed By:
Docket #:

WKP. REF.

8. Ensure that the examination meets the Examination Objectives of this Handbook Section.

EXAMINATION CLOSING PROCEDURES

- Schedule a closing conference and incorporate comments in the report. (For further instructions see Examination Handbook Section 070, Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting.) Notify all attendants of the closing conference date and time, preferably with the use of an agenda.
- 2. If appropriate, recommend any necessary administrative actions. Prepare a confidential memorandum if necessary.
- 3. If deemed constructive, provide a copy of Thrift Bulletin (TB) 68a to the CEO and discuss the process for resolving differences with examiners, including the TB 68a appeal process.
- 4. Complete the EDS/ROE. You should verify all totals in the examination report and other reports with a calculator or with the use of spreadsheet software. Refer to Examination Handbook Sections 040, Examination Systems, and 070, Ratings: Developing, Assigning, and Presenting; and the ROE Instructions.
- 5. Ensure the completion of the General File.

Exam Date:	
Prepared By:	
Reviewed By:	
Docket #:	

WKP. REF.

- 6. Review work papers for completeness, proper indexing, date stamping etc. (The EIC may delegate this responsibility.) The EIC should have reviewed the work paper content and conclusions, and initialed all work papers, before finalization of the conclusions and comments.
- 7. Prepare, if appropriate, evaluations of assistants. Sign off on their task records. Recommend formal instruction and on-the-job experience that would further each of their careers. Discuss the evaluation with the individual and their supervisor, if applicable.
- 8. Complete a PERK summary schedule and summarize any other information useful to the planning, scoping, and control of future examination activities and include the information in the General File. Compare actual and budgeted exam hours.
- 9. Update the ECEF and, if applicable, create or update the Regulatory Profile with any significant data obtained from the examination. See Examination Handbook Section 040, Examination Systems.
- 10. Transmit the completed report and return work papers and related files to the regional office in accordance with established procedures.

EXAMINER'S SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS

Exam Date:
Prepared By:
Reviewed By:
Docket #:

Appendix A: Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

Section 060

BASIC SCOPING MATRIX

Institution:					SI						
Docket:				ans	Loai						
Field Manager:			ing	je Lo	age		les				ses
FIG	es	its	clud	rtgag	lortg		ıt Sa	isk			Notic
	Product Lines	Transaction Accounts	Mortgage Loans (Including Construction)	Consumer Non-Mortgage Loans	Commercial Non-Mortgage Loans	0.0	Monetary Instrument Sales	Payment Systems Risk			Branch Security & Notices
	Product LI	n Ac	Loan on)	Non	al N	Finance Leasing	Instri	ystei	Insurance Sales	Securities Sales	curit
		actio	age] ructi	mer	nerci	ce Le	tary]	ent S	nce	ties	h Se
	LO I	rans	lortg	nsuo	omn	inanc	lonet	ayme	sura	ecuri	ranc
Comphance Areas	ц ў	E E	ΣŬ				Μ	P	In	Ň	В
Equal Credit Opportunity – CAH 205			Х	Х	Х	Х					Х
Fair Housing – CAH 210			Х								х
Home Mortgage Disclosure – CAH 215			Х								х
Fair Credit Reporting – CAH 300	Х	х	Х	Х	Х				Х		
Truth-in-Lending – CAH 305			Х	Х							
OTS Mortgage Regulations – CAH 315			Х								
Real Estate Settlement Procedures – CAH 320			Х								
Homeowners Protection – CAH 323			Х								
Consumer Leasing – CAH 325						Х					
Electronic Funds Transfer – CAH 330	х	Х									
Expedited Funds Availability – CAH 335		Х									х
Flood – CAH 340			Х								
Right to Financial Privacy – CAH 345	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х
Fair Debt Collection – CAH 350			Х	х							
Unfair or Deceptive Acts – CAH 355			Х								
Homeownership Counseling - CAH 360			Х								
Truth-in-Savings – CAH 365	X	х									
Electronic Banking – CAH 370	х	x	Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	
Gramm-Leach-Bliley Privacy – CAH 375	х	Х	X	х	х		Х	х			
Insurance Consumer Protection – CAH 380				Х	Х	Х			х		
Bank Secrecy Act – CAH 400	X	х	X	х	х		х	Х	х	х	
Bank Protection Act – CAH 405											х
Economic Sanctions – CAH 415	X	Х	Х	х	х		х	х	х	х	
Interest on Deposits - CAH 420	X	Х	1	1							
Advertising – CAH 425	X	Х	X	х	х		х	х	х	х	х

Appendix B: Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

EXAMINATION SCOPE WORKSHEET

Association:			Docket N	No.:		
Exam Type:		Start	Date:			
Review Period:						
Examiner-in-Charge:						
Compliance Examiner-in-Charge:						
Scope Summary and areas of risk:						
Examination Procedures (*Required)	Incl.	In sc	ope?	Comments		w Next am?
	Yes	No	Consider		Yes	No
Administration						
Exam Scheduling, Scoping, Management (060)						
Capital						
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*						
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)						
Capital Stock and Ownership (110)						
Capital Adequacy (120)						
Asset Quality						
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*						
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)						
Real Estate Appraisal (208)						
Sampling (209)						
Lending Risk Assessment (210)						
Loan Portfolio Diversification (211)						
Real Estate Mortgage Lending 1-4 (212)						
Construction Lending (213)						
Other Commercial Lending (214)						
Letters of Credit (215)						
Floor Plan and Indirect Lending (216)						

AND MANAGEMENT

SECTION 060

Examination Procedures (*Required)	Incl. In scope?			Comments	Review Next Exam?			
	Yes	No	Consider		Yes	No		
Consumer Lending (217)								
Credit Card Lending (218)								
Leasing Activities (219)								
Equity Investments (230)								
Troubled Debt Restructurings (240)								
Other Assets/Liabilities (250)								
Real Estate Owned and Other								
Repossessed Assets (251)								
Fixed Assets (252)								
Classification of Assets (260)								
Adequacy of Valuation Allowances (261)								
Qualified Thrift Lender Test (270)								
Margin Securities Reg U (280)								
Management								
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*								
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)								
Oversight by the Board of Directors (310)								
Management Assessment (330)								
Internal Control (340)								
Technology Risk Controls (341)								
External Audit (350)								
Internal Audit (355)								
Fraud/Insider Abuse (360)								
Enforcement Actions (370)								
Transactions with Affiliates (380)								
Earnings								
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*								
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)								
Financial Records and Reports (410)								
Operations Analysis (430)								
Liquidity								
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*								
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)								
Funds Management (510)								

AND MANAGEMENT

SECTION 060

Examination Procedures (*Required)	Incl.	In sc	ope?	Comments		w Next am?
	Yes	No	Consider		Yes	No
Cash Flow and Liquidity Management (530)						
Investment Securities (540)						
Deposits/Borrowed Funds (560)						
Reserve Requirements Reg D (561)						
Government Securities Act (563)						
Mortgage Banking – Overview (571)						
Mortgage Banking – Profitability (572)						
Mortgage Banking – Accounting (573)						
Mortgage Banking – Production (574)						
Mortgage Banking – Secondary Marketing (575)						
Mortgage Banking – Servicing (576)						
Payment Systems Risk (580)						
Sensitivity to Market Risk						
Risk-Focused Procedures (ND 02-12)*						
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)						
Interest Rate Risk Management (650)						
Derivative Instruments and Hedging (660)						
Other Activities		-				
Networking Arrangements (710)						
Insurance (720)						
Subordinate Organizations (730)						
Real Estate Development (740)						
Compliance Management		-				
Tailored Procedures (ND 04-09)						
Compliance Oversight Examination Program (1100)						
Fair Lending/Nondiscrimination						
Fair Lending – Potential for Discriminatory Conduct (1201)*						
Nondiscrimination (1200)						
Fair Lending – other (1201)						
Equal Credit Opportunity Act (1205)						
					-	

AND MANAGEMENT

SECTION 060

Examination Procedures (*Required)	Incl	. In sc	ope?	Comments		w Next am?
	Yes	No	Consider		Yes	No
Fair Housing Act (1210)						
Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (1215)						
Equal Employment Opportunity (1410)						
Americans with Disabilities Act						
Predatory Lending Issues						
Privacy						
Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Privacy Provisions (1375)*						
Fair Credit Reporting Act – privacy components (1300)						
Right to Financial Privacy Act (1345)						
Electronic Banking (1370)						

Bank Secrecy Act (BSA), USA PATRIOT Act, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) Economic Sanctions

Bank Secrecy Act (1400)*			
Bank Protection Act (1405)			
USA Patriot Act			
OFAC Economic Sanctions (1415)			

Lending Laws and Regulations

Fair Credit Reporting Act (1300)			
Truth in Lending Act (1305)			
Truth in Lending Act Restitution (1310)			
OTS Mortgage Regulations (1315)			
Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (1320)			
Homeowners Protection Act (1323)			
Consumer Leasing Act (1325)			
Flood Disaster Protection Act (1340)			
Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (1350)			
Unfair or Deceptive Acts (1355)			
Homeownership Counseling Procedures (1360)			
Electronic Banking – lending aspects (1370)			
Advertising (1425)			

AND MANAGEMENT

SECTION 060

Examination Procedures (*Required)	Incl	. In so	cope?	Comments		ew Next am?
	Yes	No	Consider		Yes	No
Federal Housing Administration Awareness Requirements						
Miscellaneous OTS Lending Regulations (late charges, prepayment penalties, due- on-sale or transfer clauses)						
Soldiers and Sailors Relief Act						
Deposit Operations Laws and Regulations	S					
Fair Credit Reporting Act (1300)						
Electronic Fund Transfer Act (1330)						
Expedited Funds Availability Act (1335)						
Check 21 (1336)						
Truth in Savings Act (1365)						
Electronic Banking (1370)						
Insurance Consumer Protection (1380)						
Bank Protection Act (1405)						
Interest on Deposits (1420)						
Advertising (1425)						
Branch Closings (1430)						
Branch Review Procedure						
Americans with Disabilities Act						

FDIC Insurance of Accounts

Appendix B: **Examination Scheduling, Scoping** and Management

Section 060

Estimated Time to Complete Exam

This Exam: Estimated # of examiner weeks needed to complete exam with above scope. (For example: 3 examiners X 4 weeks = 12 examiner weeks)	Next Exam: Estimated # of examiner weeks recommended to complete exam with above scope. (For example: 3 examiners X 4 weeks = 12 examiner weeks)
Other Comments	
Scope for This Exam	
Prepared by:	
Date:	
Approved by:	
Date:	
Scope Recommendations for Next Exam	
Prepared by:	
Date:	

After the exam has concluded, please:

- Include this form with your exam work papers. •
- Send the completed scope worksheet to the caseload FM or ARD for • posting on the ECEF.

EXAMINATION MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST

Association:	Docket No.:	
Exam Type:	Start Date:	
Review Period:		
Examiner(s)-in-Charge		
Safety and Soundness:		
Compliance:		
Field Manager:		
Assistant Regional Director:		

Prior to the Examination

60+ days prior to exam	Initial When Completed
Contact ARD/FM/Compliance EIC/Office Examiner/Financial Analyst/CRA Specialist to discuss the following (contact association management for an update, if warranted):	
Developments at the association since the prior examination	
Staffing and assignments	
Potential need for specialists, including:	
 Capital Market Examiners 	
– Appraiser	
– Accountant	
 TRC Examiner/ IT Examiner 	
Items to be reviewed /prepared prior to customization of the PERK	
Travel issues	
Telecommuting options	
Involvement of other agencies, if applicable	
Application Condition Monitoring Report, if applicable	
Date of Meeting/Discussion:	

Appendix C: Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

45 to 60 days prior to exam	Initial When Completed
Review items for the PERK customization	
 Prior ROEs/Work papers/Board Responses/EDS III 	
 ECEF (TFR/UTPR/Association Profile/Enforcement Actions/Application History/etc.) 	
OTS Correspondence Files	
 Business Plans/Board of Directors Minutes/Audits/Any other information sent to OTS during review period 	
FDIC Website (Branches/Market Share/Financial Analysis)	
Consumer Complaint Records/Reports	
Information regarding litigation	
CRA Wiz Reports	
 IRS/FinCEN Reports (SARs, CTRs, etc.) 	
Complete Examination Scope Worksheet with assistance from Compliance EIC and submit to ARD/FM for approval	

No later than 45 days prior to exam	Initial When Completed
Customize and send out PERK – Date sent:	
Ensure CRA PERK is issued, if applicable – Date sent:	
Contact CRA Specialist for preparation of fair lending summaries	
E-mail assisting examiners regarding assignments, travel issues, telecommuting options, and other logistical information	

Week before exam	Initial When Completed
Finalize Scope Worksheet	
Review ILDR and LARs and create loan samples	
Contact CEO regarding exam logistics (start time, dress code, etc.) and to schedule initial meeting	
E-mail assisting examiners with any additional information obtained since initial e-mail	
Complete and submit Exam Supply Checklist	
Send prior exam work papers, supplies, etc. to exam site	
Input exam start date online and download the appropriate ROE shell	
Review telecommute requests and forward to ARD/FM for approval	

Appendix C: Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

During the Examination

First week of exam	Initial When Completed
Provide phone number(s) to appropriate personnel	
Conduct initial meeting with CEO/senior management	
Establish ongoing meetings with management of savings association	
Establish ongoing updates with ARD/FM/Compliance EIC/CRA Specialist	
Distribute exam shells to appropriate examiners	

Ongoing	Initial When Completed
Coordinate schedules/timeframes	
Establish exit meeting and other meeting dates	
Coordinate interactions with association staff (control flow of memos and findings sheets)	
Provide written summaries of findings and issues to association management for its review and response, if necessary	
Adjust scope to address issues/findings/concerns and reallocate resources if warranted; discuss with ARD/FM	
Review examiner work and work papers as completed	
Communicate with other agencies as warranted	
Review telecommute requests as submitted and forward to ARD/FM	
Monitor the following:	
Telecommuting issues	
Travel issues	
WebTA/Leave issues	
Review work papers to ensure that conclusions and ratings are supported	
Prepare preliminary findings and conclusions	
Draft ROE comments and edit comments prepared by assisting examiners	
Discuss potential ratings with ARD/FM	

Last week of exam	Initial When Completed
Prepare for exit meeting	
Assemble OTS materials (work papers, reference materials, supplies) for shipment	
Return association materials	

Appendix C: Examination Scheduling, Scoping, and Management

Post-examination

	Initial When Completed
Complete ROE and upload Field Final	
Send ROE to FDIC for review, if applicable	
Input completion date online	
Input EDS information online (ratings, supplemental, reportable data)	
Complete and discuss examiner assignment records with examiners and case FM/ ARD	
Complete work paper documentation	
 Upload/review remaining electronic work papers 	
 Ensure indexes of hard copy work papers identify all electronic work papers 	
 Ensure electronic work papers include index of hard copy work papers 	
 Lock electronic work papers 	
 Send hard copy work papers to ARD/FM/record center 	
Discuss ongoing role of EIC with ARD/FM, including possible role in ongoing monitoring of the association	
Prepare memo and update Scope Worksheet for inclusion in Administration File that sets forth information for the next exam, i.e. areas that should be reviewed next field visit or exam, new activities or management that were not in place during the full review period; send an electronic copy of the updated Scope Worksheet to the FM/ARD for filing on the ECEF	
Complete the Comprehensive Exam Feedback Form	
Recommend postings to ECEF	
Discuss findings with FAU/Office Examiner	
Ensure Regulatory Profile is updated	
Send original IT Overview Form and IT Update Form to regional office; file copies in Management work papers. (Not applicable if a separate IT exam is performed.)	
Trust Exams – complete Trust Regulatory Profile	
Ensure holding company ROE and rating are finalized, if applicable	
Prepare for the Board meeting, if applicable	
Prepare for ERC meeting, if applicable	
Close out any outstanding issues with other agencies, if applicable	
Update Application Condition Monitoring Report, if applicable	
Review response to ROE, if applicable	
File this form in the Administration work papers	